IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY SIXTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.ESWARAIAH

WRIT PETITION NO : 3573 of 2005

Between:

1 K. Nagi Reddy, S/o. Ranga Reddy,
R/o. Doddipally vill, Kuchor Po. Nawabpet Mandal, Mahaboobnagar District.
2 K. Laxmi Narsamma, w/o. K. Nagi Reddy,
R/o. Doddipally vill, Kuchoor Po. Nawabpet Mandal, Mahaboobnagar Dist.
3 K. Ranga Reddy, S/o. Nagi Reddy,
R/o. Bandameedipally, H.No.2-98, Mahaboobnagar District & Mandal.
4 K. Thirupathi Reddy, S/o. Nagi Reddy,
Suryalaxmi Degree College, Mahaboobnagar District.
5 K. Harikrishna Reddy, S/o. Nagi Reddy,
Parnika College, Mahaboobnagar District.

... PETITIONERS

AND

1 The District Superintendent of Police, Mahaboobnagar District.
2 The Inspector of Police, I Town P.S., Mahaboobnagar.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to Issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondent No.2 in summoning the petitioners to police station without registering case as illegal and arbitrary and further direct the respondent No.2 not to call the petitioners to police station without registering case against them;

Counsel for the Petitioners: MR.V.MANOHAR RAO

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following order:

ORDER:

Petitioners submit that they are frequently summoned to the police station by the Inspector of Police, I Town Police Station, Mahaboobnagar without registering a case and therefore, question the action of the respondents in summoning them to the police station as illegal and affecting their fundamental rights.

Counter has been filed stating that the son of the first petitioner viz., K. Venkat Reddy was required in C.C.No.66 of 2001 and non bailable warrant is pending since 05.05.2003 issued by the Special Mobile Court, Mahaboobnagar as he was involved in Cr.No.16 of 2000 under Section 468 read with 471 IPC. Due to the non-production of Mr. K. Venkat Reddy the trial in the above case CC.No.66 of 2001 could not proceed further. It is submitted that the respondents have only enquired the whereabouts of the son of the first petitioner and the petitioners were never called or summoned to the police station as alleged by them.

In view of the aforesaid categorical denial of the allegation of the petitioners that they were summoned or called to the police station I am of the opinion that the rights of the petitioners have not been infringed in any manner.

Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed. No costs.

_____

V. ESWARAIAH, J

April 26, 2005

Dsk

To

1 The District Superintendent of Police, Mahaboobnagar District.
2 The Inspector of Police, I Town P.S., Mahaboobnagar.

3 Two CCs to GP For Home, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT)

Two CD Copies.